Continuity between Fetal and Neonatal Neurobehavior
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Abstract: As the development of the brain is unique and continuing process throughout the gestation and after birth, it is expected that there is also continuity of fetal and neonatal movements which are the best functional indicator of developmental processes of the brain. Understanding the relation between fetal and infant behavior and developmental processes of the brain in different periods of gestation may make achievable the distinction between normal and abnormal brain development. Epidemiological studies revealed that many neurologically impaired infants belong to low risk population, which means that they seemed to be developmentally normal as fetuses and as infants, while later childhood neurological disability was diagnosed. Which methods of neurological assessment are available for that purpose? Prenatally we have not many possibilities for neurological assessment, while postnatally the repertoire of diagnostic possibilities is increasing. Among the postnatally available methods for neurological assessment, the most important are: clinical neurological assessment, neuroimaging methods, assessment of general movements (GMs) and combinations. Postnatal neurological assessment is probably easier to perform than prenatal, by using a simple and suitable for everyday work screening clinical test with good reliability, specificity and sensitivity.

There is a possibility for the early and simple neurological assessment of the term and preterm newborns with the aim to detect associated risks and anticipate long-term outcome of the infant, and to establish a possible causative link between pregnancy course and neurodevelopmental outcome. The evaluation of infant’s developmental optimality should be assessed in order to investigate whether the infant is neurologically normal or damaged. Neurological assessment at term by Amiel-Tison (ATNAT) is taking into account neurological maturation exploring so called lower subcortical system developing earlier from the reticular formation, vestibular nuclei and tectum, and upper cortical system developing from the corticospinal pathways.

Conventional acquisition neuroimaging techniques together with modern diffusion neuroimaging techniques can identify typical patterns of brain injury, even in the early course of the disease. However, even though highly suggestive, these patterns cannot be considered as pathognomonic. Nevertheless neuroimaging methods alone are not sufficient to predict the neurological outcome in neonates from high-risk population.

Prechtl stated that spontaneous motility, as the expression of spontaneous neural activity, is a marker of brain proper or disturbed function. The observation of unstimulated fetus or infant which is the result of spontaneous behavior without sensory stimulation is the best method to assess its central nervous system capacity. All endogenously generated movement patterns from un-stimulated central nervous system could be observed as early as from the 7-8 weeks of postmenstrual age, with developing a reach repertoire of movements within the next two or three weeks, continuing to be present for 5 to 6 months postnatally. This remarkable fact of the continuity of endogenously generated activity from prenatal to postnatal life is the great opportunity to find out those high-risk fetuses and infants in whom development of neurological impairment is emerging. The most important among those movements are GMs involving the whole body in a variable sequence of arm, leg, neck and trunk movements, with gradual beginning and the end. They wax and wane in intensity, force and speed being fluent and elegant with the impression of complexity and variability. Assessment of GMs in high-risk newborns has significantly higher predictive value for later neurological development than neurological examination. Kurjak and co-workers conducted a study by 4D ultrasound and confirmed earlier findings made by 2D ultrasonography, that there is behavioral pattern continuity from prenatal to postnatal life. Assessment of neonatal behavior is a better method for early detection of cerebral palsy than neurological examination alone.

Are we approaching the era when there will be applicable neurological test for fetus and assessment of neonate will be just the continuation? This is still not easy question to answer, because even postnatally there are several neurological methods of evaluation, while in utero we are dealing with more complicated situation and less mature brain. Could neonatal assessment of neurologically impaired fetuses bring some new insights into their prenatal neurological status is still unclear and to be investigated. New scoring system for prenatal neurological assessment of the fetus proposed by Kurjak et al will give some new possibilities to detect fetuses at high neurological risk, although it is obvious that dynamic and complicated process of functional CNS development is not easy to investigate.

The aim of this review is to present continuity of the functional central nervous system assessment from prenatal to postnatal life.
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INTRODUCTION

For centuries human brain was a black inaccessible box full of mysteries and uncertainties. Physicians were just able to observe outcomes of different pathological processes in the brain sometimes expressed as a neurological disease or disability, while in some psychiatric diseases the brain was anatomically normal, although there was no doubt that the patient was sick. With the development of embryology, physiology, and sophisticated imaging, electrophysiological, genetic and other methods, we are becoming aware of some processes taking place in the brain important for the development of every human being.¹ Ultrasound technology and its prenatal and postnatal application in the evaluation of the development of the central nervous system (CNS) can be interpreted only in contrast with the structural developmental events in the particular period of gestation and development.¹ Thus, understanding the relation between fetal and infant behavior and developmental processes in different periods of gestation may make achievable the distinction between normal and abnormal brain development, as well as the early diagnosis of various structural or functional abnormalities.¹⁻³

Development of human brain is not completed at the time of delivery and even years afterwards.¹⁻³ In an infant born at term, characteristic cellular layers can be observed in motor, somatosensor, visual and auditory cortical areas.¹⁻³ While proliferation and migration are completed in a term infant, synaptogenesis, neuronal differentiation and myelination continue very intensively.¹⁻³ The developmental processes of the brain are so complex and the possibility for their impairment is very high, which is the reason why congenital malformations of the brain are among the most frequent. Brain is very sensitive to any kind of prenatal or postnatal injury, which may result in developmental disorders.¹ Most of the injuries occur during pregnancy, while intrapartal and postnatal brain injuries are not so frequent.¹ Therefore it is reasonable to make an effort to diagnose fetuses with brain damage, which is very challenging task prompting the development of fetal neurology. As the development of the brain is unique and continuing process throughout the gestation and after birth, it is expected that there is also continuity of fetal and neonatal movements which are the best functional indicator of developmental processes of the brain.¹⁻³

The aim of this review is to present continuity of the general and other movements from prenatal to postnatal life.

NEUROLOGICAL DISABILITY FROM PRENATAL TO POSTNATAL LIFE

As it could be learned from fetuses with structurally or functionally abnormal brain, their neurodevelopmental status is disturbed pre- and postnatally.⁵ Among other fetuses we are able to define those who are at neurological risk, among whom we are searching for those who will have developmental disability. Epidemiological studies revealed that many neurologically impaired infants belong to low risk population, which means that they seemed to be developmentally normal as fetuses and as infants, while later childhood neurological disability was diagnosed.⁵ Dyskinetic cerebral palsy (CP) is the dominant type of CP found in term-born, appropriate-for-gestational-age children with severe impairments who have frequently experienced adverse perinatal events.⁵ As neuroprotective methods of treatment are available for some infants, simple screening methods could be helpful to detect impaired fetuses early enough in order to avoid developmental catastrophe.⁵⁻⁷

The most infants will be diagnosed as having CP, heterogeneous group of disorders in which sometimes even hereditary elements could be found.⁸ Parents of one child with CP had a 4.8-fold risk of having a second affected child, and where the siblings were twins, the risk was 29-fold.⁸ These familial risks were particularly high in some clinical subgroups: 17-25 in singletons and 37-155 in twins, including hemiplegia, diplegia and quadriplegia.⁸ The remarkably high familial risks are difficult to explain without some contribution of heritable factors.⁸ CP is the commonest cause of severe childhood disability, the etiology of which is largely unknown.⁸ It is an “umbrella” term for disorders of development, movement and posture, resulting in limitations of activity due to non-progressive impairment of developing brain.⁹ The diagnosis of CP is retrospective and it is exceptionally made before the age of 6 months in only most severely affected infants, and the specificity of the diagnosis will improve as the child ages and the nature of the disability evolves.¹⁰ CP does not result from a single event but rather there is a sequence of interdependent adverse events providing to the condition.¹¹⁻¹² This time frame of evolving adverse events is something which should be taken into account when considering the possibility of CP diagnosis in infants.¹⁰,¹¹⁻¹² The understanding of the profile of a child’s disability across multiple domains is an ongoing process necessary for appropriate treatment and future planning.¹⁰ This theoretical statement is sometimes very difficult to be practically implemented. An attempt to make early diagnosis of CP should be followed with factors related to pathogenesis, impairment and functional limitations in every patient.¹⁰

The decreasing trend from the period 1991-1994 continued, both in children born at term and especially in those born preterm.⁵⁻¹⁰ However, the increase in dyskinetic CP in children born at term was a matter of concern.¹⁰ In this group, a perinatal hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy had been present in 71%, Spastic hemiplegia, diplegia and tetraplegia accounted for 38%, 35% and 6%, respectively, dyskinetic cerebral palsy for 15%, and ataxia for 6%.¹⁰ There was a further increase in full-term dyskinetic CP.¹⁰ The origin of CP in children born at term was considered to be prenatal in 38%, peri/neonatal in 35% and
than six month old infants. Is there any possibility to improve because clinicians have a lot of difficulties to detect CP in less decrease the CP rate? This question seems very futuristic intrauterine life to intervene at appropriate time in order to diagnostic tests to detect non-reassuring fetal status in its assessment proposed and practiced by Amiel-Tison could be detection of early impairment. Clinical neurological outcome of the infant, and to establish a possible causative link

As CP is a disorder of movement and postural control resulting from our failure to diagnose neurological impairment early enough to intervene? Interests in diagnosis of neurological impairment among ultrasonographers using 4D US have been recently shifted toward prenatal period. Most clinicians are aware that in 39.6% of CP cases, no risk factor could be identified, while it was estimated that solely intrapartum risk factors were present in 24.7% of CP cases. The only significant perinatal risk factor was neonatal weight of less than 2500 grams. Are we approaching the era of the development of diagnostic tests to detect non-reassuring fetal status in its intrauterine life to intervene at appropriate time in order to decrease the CP rate? This question seems very futuristic because clinicians have a lot of difficulties to detect CP in less than six month old infants. Is there any possibility to improve timing of postnatal diagnosis of neurologically disabled infant? Postnatal assessment is probably easier to perform than prenatal, by using a simple and suitable for everyday work screening clinical test with good reliability, specificity and sensitivity. Such tests are still not widely used, while those complicated and time consuming are used mostly for clinical research purposes. There is a possibility for the early and simple neurological assessment of the term and preterm newborns with the aim to detect associated risks and anticipate long-term outcome of the infant, and to establish a possible causative link between pregnancy course and neurodevelopmental outcome. As CP is a disorder of movement and postural control resulting in functional limitations, its diagnosis could be helpful in detection of early impairment. Clinical neurological assessment proposed and practiced by Amiel-Tison could be very useful in the early detection of newborns at risk. As already mentioned, development of central nervous system (CNS) is very complex and long-lasting process. Therefore the evaluation of its developmental optimality should be assessed in order to investigate whether the infant is neurologically normal or damaged. Neurological assessment at term by Amiel-Tison (ATNAT) is taking into account neurological maturation exploring so called lower subcortical system developing earlier from the reticular formation, vestibular nuclei and tectum, and upper cortical system developing from the corticospinal pathways. The role of lower system is to maintain posture against gravity, while the upper system is responsible for the control of erect posture and for the movements of the extremities. At the corrected age of 40 gestational weeks optimality assessment consists of: head circumference measurement, assessment of cranial sutures, visual pursuit, social interaction, sucking reflex, raise-to-sit and reverse, passive tone in the axis, passive tone in the limbs, fingers and thumbs outside the fist, and autonomic control during assessment. The ATNAT is increasing accuracy in assessing CNS function in the neonate by using simple scoring system, focusing on the most meaningful items, promoting a clinical synthesis at term, for term and preterm infants. It was recognized that clinico-anatomic correlations using high resolution neuroimaging techniques could be helpful in the neurological assessment of newborns, while the neurological examination and the functional assessment of the developing CNS are bringing a new perspective of CNS status in neonatal period. According to the investigation of very low birth weight infants, ATNAT at 40 weeks had a positive predictive value of 33% and negative predictive value of 88%, respectively, with similar results for neurodevelopmental assessment at the age of three months. This means that we still need some other methods to be used in order to predict neurodevelopmental outcome of low and high risk infants.

NEONATAL ASPECT OF FETAL NEUROLOGY—NEUROIMAGING

Conventional acquisition neuroimaging techniques together with modern diffusion neuroimaging techniques can identify typical patterns of brain injury, even in the early course of the disease. However, even though highly suggestive, these patterns cannot be considered as pathognomonic. Diffusion techniques can help to differentiate different types of diffuse brain edema.

Ultrasound has been very important diagnostic modality for the detection and follow-up of central nervous system disorders of sick premature and term babies in the neonatal intensive care units for many years. It has fairly acceptable sensitivity and specificity in high and low risk neonatal population. The validity of the two dimensional ultrasound (2D US) scans was 85%, sensitivity 70%, specificity 90%, positive predictive value 72%
and negative predictive value 89%, respectively.27 The 2D US scans classified as low-risk were followed by a normal neurological outcome in 74 (89%) of 83 infants; those classified as high-risk for neurological impairment were followed by abnormal neurological outcome in 21 (72%) of 29 infants.27 Other neuroimaging procedures like MRI or computed tomography (CT) are also available and feasible in neonatal period with better sensitivity and specificity for the detection of hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy or focal cortical damage, but ultrasound remains as very important screening method for depiction of fetal and neonatal brain.22,28 Neuroimaging is particularly useful to determine the timing of hypoxic-ischemic brain damage.29 Cranial ultrasound has been used to determine the type and evolution of brain damage. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT) of the head have also been used to detect antenatal, perinatal and neonatal abnormalities and timing on the basis of standardized assessment of brain maturation.29 In term and near term neonates with CP, head MRI and CT revealed focal arterial infarction in 22%, brain malformations in 14%, periventricular white matter abnormalities in 12%, generalized brain atrophy in 7%, hypoxic-ischemic brain injury in 5%, intracranial hemorrhage in 5%, delayed myelination in 2%, other abnormality in 6%, while in 37% of infants neuroimaging findings were normal.13

Although three-dimensional (3D) neurosonography is safe and low-risk procedure in the neonate, due to a very limited availability of equipment for 3D neurosonography, which is often connected with the necessity of newborn transportation, benefits and risks of 3D imaging should be taken under consideration.30-32 In the institutions where equipment is available and can be transported to the patient, it is method of choice for the depiction of neonatal brain.30-37 Indications for 3D neurosonography in newborn period are the same as for 2D, and whenever 2D is unreliable or doubtful, than 3D is indicated. The main indications for 3D neurosonography in the newborn period are prenatally or postnatally developed.30-37

- Intracranial hemorrhage,
- Hypoxic-ischemic brain damage,
- Inflammatory disorders of the brain and its complications,
- Ventriculomegaly and hydrocephaly (Doppler and volumetric studies included),
- Congenital brain defects, and
- Assessment of gestational age.

Many known and unknown perinatal and social risk factors can influence the development of neonatal brain especially in premature infants, although abnormal prenatal neurosonography or postnatal neurological findings in apparently well neonates can prompt neonatologists to search for ultrasound abnormalities.17,38 A good correlation was found between ultrasound findings in the fetal and neonatal period and signs of neurological impairment in the neonatal period and later in childhood.35,18,38 Cranial ultrasound can be a good predictor of disabling and non-disabling CP at the age of two years in low birth weight infants and it can be in relation with impaired motor function in five-year-old children.24,39 Improving survival of very low birth weight infants contributed to the increased incidence of CP despite introduction of sophisticated treatment methods of intensive care.24 Brain lesions of the white matter diagnosed by ultrasound were found to be a powerful predictor of disabling CP.24 Nevertheless neuroimaging methods alone are not sufficient to predict the neurological outcome in neonates from high risk population. There is need for more precise clinical and neuroimaging methods applicable in everyday practice, in order to improve clinicians’ ability to detect neurological handicap as early as possible and initiate treatment.7

**GENERAL MOVEMENTS**

In the last thirty years objective assessment of videotaped general movements by Prechtl’s method has been shown to be predictive of later CP.40 The quality of general movements (GMs) at 2 to 4 months post-term (so-called fidgety GM age) has been found to have highest predictive value in the detection of the infants at risk for CP development.41 It seems that assessment of the quality of GM is a window for early detection of children at high risk for developmental disorders.41 Method is simple and it is based on so called “Gestalt perception” i.e. evaluation of GM complexity, variation and amplitude.40,41 Assessment of GMs at 2 to 4 months post-term at so called fidgety GM age has been found to have the highest predictive value for development of CP, if abnormal.40,41

Heinz Prechtl’s work enabled that spontaneous motility during human development has been brought into focus of interest of many perinatologists prenatally and developmental neurologists postnatally.40,42 According to the research preceding Prechtl’s ingenious idea, during the development of the individual the functional repertoire of the developing neural structure must meet the requirements of the organism and its environment.40 This concept of ontogenetic adaptation fits excellently to the development of human organism, which is during each developmental stage adapted to the internal and external requirements.40 Prechtl stated that spontaneous motility, as the expression of spontaneous neural activity, is a marker of brain proper or disturbed function.40,41 The observation of un-stimulated fetus or infant which is the result of spontaneous behavior without sensory stimulation is the best method to assess its central nervous system capacity.40 All endogenously generated movement patterns from un-stimulated central nervous system could be observed as early as from the 7-8 weeks of postmenstrual age, with developing a reach repertoire of movements within the next two or three weeks, continuing to be present for 5 to 6 months postnatally.43 This remarkable fact of the continuity of endogenously generated activity from prenatal to postnatal life is the great opportunity to find out those high risk fetuses and infants in whom development of
neurological impairment is emerging. The most important among those movements are so called general movements (GMs) involving the whole body in a variable sequence of arm, leg, neck and trunk movements, with gradual beginning and the end.40-43 They wax and wane in intensity, force and speed being fluent and elegant with the impression of complexity and variability.40-43 GMs are called fetal or preterm from 28 to 38 weeks of postmenstrual age, while after that we have at least two types of movements: writhing present to 46 to 52 weeks of postmenstrual age and fidgety movements present till 54 to 58 weeks of postmenstrual age.40-43 Lack of fluency and existence of considerable variation and complexity are the main characteristics of mildly abnormal GMs.44 When complexity, variation and fluency are absent, than we are dealing with definitely abnormal GMs.44

The quality of each individual movement includes speed, amplitude and force combined in one complex perception.40-43 Investigation of normal and neurologically impaired preterm infants showed that except for higher incidence of cloni in the abnormal group, there was no marked difference in the quantity of different motor patterns studied.45,46 However, video analysis of another group of sick preterm infants revealed a “reduction of elegance and fluency as well as variability, fluctuation in intensity and speed rather than any change in incidence of distinct motor patterns”.45,47 Based on postnatal studies, it would be very important to seek for abnormal quantity and quality of prenatal movements in order to find fetuses neurologically at risk.47

Some facts are very important in the assessment of GMs. The first is that evaluation of GMs should be based on the video recorded movements either pre- or postnatally. The second fact is that when assessing GMs one should use so called “gestalt perception”, which could be described as overall impression of GMs with standardized procedure.40 During the perception one should recognize the movement patterns of GMs, than assess their complexity, variability and fluency.40,41 According to Hadders-Algra, GMs could be classified as normal-optimal, normal-suboptimal, mildly abnormal and definitely abnormal.41 This modality of GM assessment is important for the prenatal and postnatal observation of GMs. It is not so important to assess the quantity of GMs, while the assessment of their quality is of utmost importance in terms of the prognosis of neurodevelopmental outcome. They can better predict neurodevelopmental outcome than classical neurologic examination alone.48

General movements in high risk and disabled neonates— lesson for prenatal assessment.

GMs were studied in high risk and disabled neonates with results which are very illustrative for prenatal assessment of GMs in high risk fetuses. In infants with meningomyelocele between days one and seven, tendon leg reflexes caudal to the meningomyelocele had disappeared in almost all neonates.49 However, leg movements caudal to the meningomyelocele remained concurrently present with GMs in all neonates after day seven, but their duration decreased when compared with GMs on the day one.49 In neonates with spina bifida aperta, leg movements caudal to the meningomyelocele concur with GMs, indicating functional neural conduction through the meningomyelocele.49 The disappearance of these leg movements is caused by lower motor neuron dysfunction at the reflex arc, while neural conduction through the meningomyelocele is still functional.49

The same GMs in children with Down syndrome (DS) were characterized by low to low/moderate speed, large to large/ moderate amplitude, partially creating impression of fluency, smoothness and complexity, abrupt beginning and end, few other concurrent gross movements.50 During the 6 months, all children showed an improvement of qualitative and semi-quantitative evaluation, but it was possible to observe great heterogeneity among children in the evolutionary course.50 GMs evaluation of children with not known motor problems was normal, showing only slight and transient abnormalities at first months.50 GMs character of children with DS could be related to central nervous system and peripheral abnormalities characterizing this syndrome.50 The evaluation of GMs in children with DS could be an early marker of motor impairment and help in early management decisions making.50

The incidence of normal GMs in infants with asymmetric intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR) was lower than in their appropriate for gestational age-matched controls.51 Significant correlations were found between GM quality and neurodevelopmental scores in the IUGR group.51 The fidgety movements were the most sensitive and specific for prediction of neurologic outcome at the age of two years.51 The GM assessment can serve as an additional tool for examining the neurologic status of the preterm and term IUGR infants.51

Psychomotor delay in children of women with epilepsy was confirmed by traditional neurological examination at 7 days, 4 weeks, 13 weeks and 6 months, while between 9 and 12 months of age, traditional neurologic examination became “silent”.52 GM assessment was found to be a better predictor of psychomotor development than neurological examination.52 Psychomotor delay in the offspring of epileptic women could be diagnose by GMs and neurologic evaluation, providing complementary information concerning psychomotor development and later outcome of these children.52

For predicting motor outcome of very low birth weight (VLBW) infants, the assessment of GM has a positive predictive value of 89% and negative predictive value of 84%; while neurodevelopmental assessment at 40 weeks had a positive predictive value of 33% and negative predictive value of 88%, respectively, with similar results for neurodevelopmental assessment at age of three months. GM assessment is a simple,
repeatable and non-intrusive technique, and may be a valuable method for the early detection of central nervous system impairment in VLBW infants.21

In conclusion, prenatal and postnatal assessment of GMs according to Prechtl’s method gives quite new insight on the function and development of central nervous system. This important modality is time consuming and requires some technology and expertise to be practiced, but advantages of its implementation in prenatal and postnatal life are very promising and encouraging in terms of its prognostic value. Prenatal assessment of GMs is well developed and established, while prenatal assessment needs sophisticated real time 4D ultrasonographic or other technology in order to enable more precise assessment of GM quality in fetuses.

CONTINUITY OF GMS FROM PRENATAL TO POSTNATAL LIFE

Postnatal studies of neonatal behavior have taught us that the assessment of behavior is a better predictor of neurodevelopmental disability than neurological examination.47 It is important to mention that postnatal observation of movement patterns was introduced by Prechtl and coworkers in the way that they have been observing spontaneous movements of the infant using video typing and “off-line” analysis of both quantity and quality of the movement.40,42 They proved that assessment of GMs in high risk newborns has significantly higher predictive value for later neurological development than neurological examination.40,42,47 Kurjak and coworkers conducted a study by 4D ultrasound and confirmed earlier findings made by 2D ultrasonography, that there is behavioral pattern continuity from prenatal to postnatal life.53 Assessment of neonatal behavior is a better method for early detection of CP than neurological examination alone.54 It is being speculated that intrauterine detection of encephalopathy would improve the outcome. Although many fetal behavioral studies have been conducted, it is still questionable whether the assessment of continuity from fetal to neonatal behavior could improve our ability of early detection of brain pathology. Early detection could possibly rise an opportunity to intervene and even prevent the expected damage.7 Early intervention programs for preterm infants have a positive influence on cognitive outcomes in the short to medium term.7

In our work we observed that there were no movements observed in the fetuses which were not present in neonates (Fig. 1).55,56 The most frequent were hand to mouth and hand to face fetal and neonatal movements. Hand to mouth and hand to face movements were more frequent in fetuses than in neonates, while all other hand movements were less frequent in neonates than in fetuses.55,56

CONTINUITY OF GMS FROM PRENATAL TO POSTNATAL LIFE

Postnatal studies of neonatal behavior have taught us that the assessment of behavior is a better predictor of neurodevelopmental disability than neurological examination.47 It is important to mention that postnatal observation of movement patterns was introduced by Prechtl and coworkers in the way that they have been observing spontaneous movements of the infant using video typing and “off-line” analysis of both quantity and quality of the movement.40,42 They proved that assessment of GMs in high risk newborns has significantly higher predictive value for later neurological development than neurological examination.40,42,47 Kurjak and coworkers conducted a study by 4D ultrasound and confirmed earlier findings made by 2D ultrasonography, that there is behavioral pattern continuity from prenatal to postnatal life.53 Assessment of neonatal behavior is a better method for early detection of CP than neurological examination alone.54 It is being speculated that intrauterine detection of encephalopathy would improve the outcome. Although many fetal behavioral studies have been conducted, it is still questionable whether the assessment of continuity from fetal to neonatal behavior could improve our ability of early detection of brain pathology. Early detection could possibly rise an opportunity to intervene and even prevent the expected damage.7 Early intervention programs for preterm infants have a positive influence on cognitive outcomes in the short to medium term.7

In our work we observed that there were no movements observed in the fetuses which were not present in neonates (Fig. 1).55,56 The most frequent were hand to mouth and hand to face fetal and neonatal movements. Hand to mouth and hand to face movements were more frequent in fetuses than in neonates, while all other hand movements were less frequent in neonates than in fetuses.55,56

In our systematic study of fetal behavior by 4D sonography we were able to observe different expressions and movements of fetal face, but the question was if they were indicating fetal awareness?57 Is it the facial expression of the fetus that can help in understanding what fetus in utero would like to communicate? As our recent investigation showed, there is a behavioral continuity from fetal to neonatal life, which probably includes facial expression.55-57 We can see on the fetal face whether it is satisfied or unhappy, smiling or worried, self-confident or uncertain, but is it the expression of fetal face the predictor of its normal neurological development?

Figures 2 to 7 showing continuity of some movements from prenatal to postnatal life.

COULD SOME POSTNATAL SIGNS OF NEUROLOGICAL DISABILITY BE USED PRENATALLY?

It has been proven by now that ultrasonography is a powerful tool in the assessment of fetal behavior. 4D sonography brought up to light visual observation of the fetus, particularly in two especially important domains: fetal finger movements and facial expressions.58,59 This new technology is not only a tool of fetal observation but a very useful tool to evaluate the development of fetal CNS in normally developing fetuses and those at high risk. A basic understanding of fetal neurology includes: defining of motor pathways involved, chronology of their maturation and direction of myelination.58,59 This information helps clinician in better interpretation of fetal movements. The experience acquired with the Amiel-Tison’s Neurological
Assessment at Term (ATNAT) helps in interpretation of fetal movements.\textsuperscript{15,18,19,60}

The domain of fetal neurology is already too extensive, but the focus of interest is mainly second trimester, despite the fact that spontaneous fetal mobility emerges and has already became differentiated at a very early age.\textsuperscript{61} This means that period of pregnancy from 20 till 40 weeks of gestation, including the end of the neuronal migration and the post-migratory phase corresponding to the development of neocortex will be taken into consideration.\textsuperscript{62-64}

As it was already mentioned, CP describes a group of disorders of the development of movement and posture, causing activity limitations, which are attributed to non-progressive disturbances occurring at the time of fetal brain development.\textsuperscript{65-68}

Motor disorders which occur in patients with CP are often accompanied by disturbances of sensation, cognition, communication, perception, behavior, and/or with seizure disorder.\textsuperscript{65-68} “Disturbances” is a term referring to events or processes which in some way influence the expected pattern of brain maturation.\textsuperscript{60,69} It should be emphasized that morphology does not always correspond to neurological outcome.\textsuperscript{15,18,19,60}

It would be wise to consider long run prognosis, for each specific type of fetal brain damage and make appropriate decisions for management.\textsuperscript{7}

Hopes have been headed towards MR, but in many cases brain changes can not be detected as early as in the first year of life, like for example pathological gliosis which causes secondary hypomyelination.\textsuperscript{15,18,19,60}
Figs 4A and B: Smiling: (A) Fetus (3D ultrasound) (B) Neonate

Figs 5A and B: Yawning: (A) Fetus (3D ultrasound) (B) Neonate

Figs 6A and B: Tongue expulsion: (A) Fetus (3D ultrasound), (B) Neonate
While examining the fetal head by 4D, sonographer should examine bony structures and fetal cranial sutures, if they are folding over one another, it is considered to be a ominous sign previously described by Amiel-Tison.\textsuperscript{15,18,60} The same sign should be searched for postnatally, as a part of neurological examination.\textsuperscript{15,18,19,60,69}

The majority of pediatricians believe that the main obstacle for early prediction of CP based on a functional observation of the fetus such as visual observation by 4-D sonography, is due to the “precompetent” stage of most of the motor behavior observed \textit{in utero}.\textsuperscript{15,18,60,69,70} One of the possible signs detected could be high arched palate, described by Amiel-Tison, in clinical assessment of the infant nervous system.\textsuperscript{15,18,19,60,69}

What was believed as prenatally undetectable became visible by 4D ultrasound. Recently, the 3D “reverse face technique” has been described.\textsuperscript{54,71} This technique overcomes shadowing of the fetal face by rotating the frontal facial image through 180° along the vertical axis, so that the palate, nasal cavity and orbits become visualized.\textsuperscript{54,71}

Pooh and Ogura examined 65 normal fetuses by 3D/4D. The purpose of their study was to investigate the natural course of fetal hand and finger positioning.\textsuperscript{72} During the 9th and at the beginning of the 10th week fetal hands were located in front of the chest and no movements of wrists and fingers were
visualized. From the middle of the 10th week, active arm movements were observed. This study is very important, because it is showing that finger and thumb movements begun in the early stage of human life, long before the maturation of the upper system. Therefore this motor activity depends on the lower system and not before 30-32 weeks switches to the upper control.

Amiel-Tison also described so called neurologic thumb squeezed in a fist. Clenched fingers can also be detected by 4D sonography, as well as overlapping cerebral sutures.

Head anteflexion becomes visible during 10th and 11th gestational week, according to de Vries and co-workers. However, the activity of flexor muscles will depend on the upper system since 34 weeks of gestation. The absence of active head flexion explored by the raise-to-sit maneuver is one of the major neurological signs at 40 weeks of gestation. 5,18,19,60,69

CONCLUSION

Neurological assessment of fetus in utero is extremely difficult even having such sophisticated equipment like 4D ultrasound. As it is well known that quantity of GMs is not so informative and predictive for neurological impairment, their quality should be assessed. “Gestalt perception” of premature GMs we are dealing with in utero and several weeks postanatally are not as predictive for the detection of neurologically abnormal fetuses or newborns as fidgety GMs emerging from 54 to 58 weeks of postmenstrual age. Therefore some additional parameters should be added to the prenatal neurological examination in order to improve clinicians’ ability to make the distinction between normal and abnormal fetuses or to assess optimality of CNS development. 15,18,19,60,69 DiPietro states that an emerging consensus recognizes the fact that “fetal neurobehavior reflects the developing nervous system”, however we don’t know yet theconceptual and methodological strengths and weaknesses of fetal assessments proposed. We are hardly ready to predict the neurological outcome in fetuses between two extreme situations, optimal or very abnormal. The predictive value for a favorable outcome of a complete neurobehavioral pattern in fetus as from 22 gestational weeks on should be demonstrated. Possibilities of 4D sonography are demonstrating the prenatal onset of a brain damage, based on morphological and functional signs. There is no doubt that this observation will be helpful, even though that prenatally observed signs are not yet highly predictive due to the brain immaturity, their identification will be at least recognized as a retrospective marker for a prenatal insult. 15,18,19,60,69

Are we approaching the era when there will be applicable neurological test for fetus and assessment of neonate will be just the continuation? This is still not easy question to answer, because even postnatally there are several neurological methods of evaluation, while in utero we are dealing with more complicated situation and less mature brain. Could neonatal assessment of neurologically impaired fetuses bring some new insights into their prenatal neurological status is still unclear and to be investigated. New scoring system for prenatal neurological assessment of the fetus proposed by Kurjak et al. will give some new possibilities to detect fetuses at high neurological risk, although it is obvious that dynamic and complicated process of functional CNS development is not easy to investigate. We have still a long way to go.
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