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Abstract

As more and more patients present to dental offices requiring extraction and replacement of teeth in the esthetic zone, the proposed treatment options range from a removable prosthesis as a temporary measure to three unit bridges and implants. Of these modalities, more and more patients prefer the implant option especially if it can be performed immediately. The author has placed and restored 2700 immediate implants in the 13 years period between 1996 and 2009 and discusses the principles of immediate implants.
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More patients are presenting themselves to dental offices in hopes for an immediate replacement for a tooth in the esthetic zone that is no longer restorable or fractured. Many of these teeth have had endodontic treatment and are fractured. When presenting the patient of their options such as a temporary partial (flipper), three unite fixed bridge or an implant. Most choose the implant, especially if it can be done immediately. Since, March 1996 to September of 2009, this author has placed and restored over 2700 of immediate implants in the esthetic zone, premolar to premolar, with less than 5% exhibiting complication or failure. Like all modalities in dentistry, we must adhere to certain principals when diagnosing and performing immediate replacement procedures. This clinician, as well as many others, have discovered these principals from their individual clinical experiences. Beginning August of 1989 to August 2004, this author participated in a multicenter study of immediate extraction implant replacement with an immediately placed provisional restoration. A total of four clinicians participated in the study, tracking the majority of the implants a minimum of 12 years. The compiled was 96.7% success using the accepted published standards for success.

The basic principals to achieving predictable success in immediate replacement implant dentistry are:

1. Nontraumatic extraction.
2. Remove any residual pathology in the socket (may sterilize with a laser).
3. Place 2 mm twist drill at the apex of the socket and slide it at least 2 mm to the palatal aspect.
4. Drag a periodontal currett along the labial or fascial wall to discover any fenestrations. Fenestration of 5 mm in diameter or more, it is recommended to perform a flap procedure. Less than 5 mm. It is preferred not to flap to keep the periosteum on the bone to help maintain blood supply. If there is an absence of the labial plate, in such cases it is advised to graft and no Implant Placement. Implant place 5 months post-extraction–delayed extraction replacement. Also, delayed placement is recommended if there is active infection, purulent exudate. Patient is place on a 5 days course of antibiotic and implant placed 3 to 4 weeks postextraction.
5. Choose an implant diameter and prepare the socket so that there is a space of at least 1 mm between the labial or fascial bone. Starting the socket preparation 2 mm to the palatal aspect will help to accomplish avoiding contact or pressure against the extremely thin labial bone. Spaces or gaps or 2 mm or more should be grafted with a soft bone putty, example Nova bone or Pepgen flow.
6. If there is sufficient bone between existing socket apex and nasal or maxillary sinus, select an implant that is 1 to 2 mm longer than the too that is being replaced.
7. Use screw or threadformer against the dense palatal wall of the socket in order to prevent the implant from being influenced and pushed against the thin labial bone plate.
Use a torque to determine primary stability. This author primarily places replace select (Nobel Biocare). There for this system to determine primary stability for immediate function is between 35 to 45 N/cm.

8. Abutment choices can be of the clinician’s preference. In most cases this clinician prefers temporary abutments, which can be removed after the integration period, implant level transfer impression recorded and the laboratory fabricates the final abutment and restoration. If final abutments are placed at the time of insertion, ceramic abutments are to be prepared using a high speed drill, diamonds with irrigation. Titanium may be prepared using high speed, sharp metal cutting burs with copious amounts of water.

9. The provisional restoration must be well adapted and adjusted at least 1 mm out of occlusion in all excursions. A retentive cement is recommended to insure that there is no movement of the provisional restoration. Ex. Improv. Care must be taken to remove any excess cement. If soft tissue manipulations were performed, it is generally recommended to suture after cementation. Patient are instructed to avoid functioning on the site, prescribed a 5 days course of antibiotics and analgesics.

It is this author’s experience that the patients are extremely satisfied with immediate implant treatment and recommend other new patients to the practice. In today’s world economic environment, immediate extraction implant replacement is a highly accepted option. The basic principals that have been described must be adhered to in order to insure success. This clinician prefers tapered implants for extraction replacements as they are more anatomically suitable to alveolar socket forms and studies show that they are initially more stable at the time of placement which is important for immediate function.

Placement of dental implants into fresh extraction sites offers a number of significant advantages to both the patients and the clinicians. Without the support provided by the functioning dental units (e.g., teeth, implants), the bony receptor sites soon undergo a catabolic phases that results in varying amounts of atrophy after tooth removal. Recession of the mucosal and gingival tissues accompanies and follows changes in the alveolar ridge. As a result, achievement of superior esthetics becomes more difficult, particularly in the esthetic zone of the anterior maxilla.

Further, implants in fresh extraction sites can be placed in the same position as the extracted tooth. Immediate implant placement facilitates the final restoration and minimizes the need for severely angled abutments or fabrication of telescopic copings. When necessary, the surgeon can position the implant more favorably than the original tooth by redirecting the burs when preparing the implant receptor site. With the extraction socket as a guide, the surgeon can also more easily determine the appropriate parallelism and alignment relative to the opposing and adjacent residual dentition and to adjacent implants when there are multiple extractions and implants. Improved final function and esthetics typically result.

Finally, elimination of the once-standard 1-7 wait for primary healing of the soft tissues and the regeneration of the osseous structure significantly shorten the overall treatment time and the interval during which the patient must live in a transitional state with or without teeth. Consequently, more patients accept treatment. The consolidation of procedures has also proven to increase the overall costeffectiveness of cases. Although some of the early implant practitioners assumed that the long-term implant survival depended on placement in healed alveolar ridge(s), a growing body of evidence confirms that immediate postextraction implant placement yields excellent and predictable results.

GUIDELINES FOR EXTRACTION WHEN PLANNING FOR IMMEDIATE IMPLANT PLACEMENT

The following guidelines for extractions are provided when planning for immediate placement of implants.

1. Preoperative evaluation.
2. Antibiotic therapy initiation.
3. Preservation of the bony receptor site.
5. Avoidance of excessive pressure.
7. Improvements for placements.
8. Bone grafts.
10. Successful osseointegration.
11. Implant loading.

Preoperative Evaluation

Patients should be thoroughly evaluated before all elective procedures. However, acute situations such as those involving a nonrestorable fractured tooth or those with pulpal exposure, may not allow for preliminary evaluation.
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Antibiotic Therapy Initiation

If the presurgical evaluation reveals any sign of potential acute infection, antibiotic therapy should be initiated 3 to 5 days before surgery.

Preservation of the Bony Receptor Site

After reflection of the mucoperiosteal tissues, care should be taken to remove the tooth with as little trauma as possible. The teeth may be brittle or even ankylosed to the surrounding bone, particularly when endodontic therapy has been previously carried out. In such cases, a high-speed, contra-angle hand piece with a 700 xxl bur (Park dental research, New York, NY) can be used to section the tooth longitudinally. The individual segments can then be dissected and carefully removed to preserve the surrounding bony receptor site. The availability of the Periotome (Nobel Biocare, Yorba Linda, CA) has allowed the removal of residual teeth in an atraumatic manner.

Procedural Delays

If any purulent exudate is discovered after removing the tooth, placement of the implant should be delayed. In some cases it is not possible to make this determination preoperatively: The surgeon must make the decision to go forward or delay the implant placement interopératively. Patients should be informed of this possibility preoperatively. In addition, if any purulence is present, no hard or soft tissue grafting procedures should be performed. The affected area should be curetted, irrigated and closed. Appropriate antibiotic therapy should be continued or instituted. The tissue is then allowed to heal for several weeks until soft tissue closure is complete before implant placement is scheduled.

TAPERED IMPLANTS

Although excellent results can be obtained when placing standard implants in fresh extraction sites, tapered, anatomically shaped implants are the implants of choice for this indication. Instead of having a uniform diameter, such implants more closely mimic the shape of natural tooth roots. They are wider at the cervix than the apex. Tapered implants such as the replace system (Nobel Biocare) are available in various lengths 8, 10, 13 and 16. The implant diameters are 3.5 mm, 4.3 mm, 5.0 mm and 6.0 mm. The surface is titanium.
Whenever the decision has been made to replace a failed tooth with an implant, careful consideration should be given to placing the implant at the time of the extraction, rather than delaying placement until after healing of the site has occurred. When the guidelines described in this paper are followed, immediate implant placement can prevent the loss of soft and hard tissues that typically follow extraction. Strong tissue support, in turn, makes the achievement of superior esthetic results more likely. The use of tapered implants and tapered osteotomes to prepare the extraction site increases further the probability of creating an attractive and natural looking emergence profile.

The tapered design also allows the implant to be placed in the same position as the extracted tooth and avoids buccal or labial wall perforation that is common in the anterior maxilla or perforation of the submandibular and digastric fossa in the mandible when using parallel walled implants. Since the position of the implant is similar to that of the extracted tooth, restoration can be in a more favorable position relative to the opposing arch, thus reducing excessive off-axis loading on the implant. In addition, the tapered implant can be used when a parallel-walled implant is contraindicated in cases with convergent roots. By using a straight or 15° maximum angle abutment for fixed prostheses, the occlusal table will have more acceptable buccolingual dimensions. These dimensions can also be achieved with screw-retained prostheses, if desired.

**CONCLUSION**
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