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Abstract

Background: A family case report of cleidocranial dysplasia (CCD) with varied manifestations from father to three siblings is presented. CCD (MIM # 119600) is a rare autosomal dominant skeletal dysplasia caused by CBAF1 gene (OMIM 600211) with a wide range of variability. In all the cases generalized dysplasia in bone, prolonged retention of primary teeth and delayed eruption of permanent teeth were evident. Interestingly, there were no supernumerary teeth present. There was mandibular prognathism which was intercepted by occipital chin cup therapy.

Aims and objective: To present the clinical manifestations, diagnostic imaging and treatment modalities along with dermatoglyphics in CCD patients.

Conclusion: Cleidocranial dysplasia is an uncommon disorder however its clinical and radiological features are characteristic. In addition the CCD patients may be distinguished by specific dermatoglyphic markers. It carries with it several implications in terms of complications like skeletal malocclusion, dental caries, etc. Medical treatment is mainly directed at orthopedic and dental correction. A team approach to the management of dental abnormalities on a long-term basis with the overall goal to provide an esthetic facial appearance and functioning occlusion by late adolescence or early adulthood should be focused.
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INTRODUCTION

Cleidocranial dysplasia (CCD) is an autosomal dominant highly polymorphic skeletal disorder with a wide variety of expressivities, primarily affecting bones undergoing intramembranous ossification. It is characterized by retarded cranial ossification, patent sutures and fontanelles, supernumerary teeth, short stature and a variety of other skeletal abnormalities.1,2

CCD is a rare disorder with a prevalence of less than 1 per million.3 The disease gene, which has been mapped to chromosome 6p21 within a region containing core binding factor activity 1 (CBFA1), a member of the Runt family of transcription factors controls differentiation of precursor cells into osteoblasts and is essential for both membranous and endochondral bone formation.4,5

The different clinical manifestations reflect the basic mechanisms of skeletal development, patterning, bone and cartilage formation, growth and homeostasis.6 The oral manifestations of CCD include an underdeveloped maxilla with a high, narrow arched palate, prolonged retention of deciduous teeth, failure of the secondary dentition to erupt, delayed maturation among the permanent teeth and multiple impacted supernumerary teeth.1,7-9

The term dermatoglyphics, is used in describing the scientific fields of study of the palmer and plantar ridges of the hands and feet. Dermal palmer and plantar ridges are highly useful in biological studies. Their notably variable
characteristics are not duplicated in other people, even in monozygotic twins or even in the same person, from location to location. The details of these ridges are permanent. Yet while the individual characteristics are variable, that diversity falls within pattern limits that permit systematic classification.\(^{10}\)

The aims and objectives of this article are to present the dental, radiological and dermatoglyphic findings along with treatment modalities in a family with CCD.

**CASE 1**

An 8 years old boy reported to the Department of Pediatric and Preventive Dentistry, Jaipur Dental College with the chief complaint of decayed right lower back tooth. On general examination height and weight was normal to his age. Further examination revealed brachycephaly, frontal bossing and sloping of shoulders. The facial symmetry was normal with oval form, straight profile and competent lips. Intraoral examination revealed the presence of following teeth:

16, 55, 54, 53, 52, 51, 21, 62, 63, 64, 65, 26
46 84, 83, 82, 81, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 36

Root Stumps in relation to 64, 84; deep proximal caries in relation to 54, 74 and moderate proximal caries in relation to 55 were observed. The palate was narrow with high vault. On the left side angle’s class III molar relationship was evident whereas on the right side posterior crossbite was observed. In addition the patient exhibited anterior crossbite (Fig. 1). Radiological investigations were planned for the patient. Intraoral periapical radiograph in relation to 54, 74 revealed involvement of pulp. Chest radiograph displayed total absence of clavicles and a bell shaped thorax with low placed scapulas (Fig. 2). A-P view of the skull demonstrated widening of sutures and a few wormian bones (Fig. 3). OPG depicted a large number of retained deciduous teeth coinciding with delayed eruption of the permanent teeth. In addition, the second premolars were missing in both the arches (Fig. 4). The lateral cephalometric analysis confided a wide sella, increased growth axis (Y-axis) as well as increased FMA (Fig. 12). Pulp therapy with stainless steel crowns were luted in relation to 54, 74. Glass ionomer cement restoration was done in 55. The abnormal increased vertical growth pattern of the mandible was intercepted using occipital chin cups (Fig. 13). The dermatoglyphic analysis was also performed. Bilateral arch pattern depicted CWWLL (composite, whorl, loop) sequence type in the left fingers and LCWLL (loop, composite, whorl) pattern in the right fingers. The total ridge count was 71 (Table 1 and Fig. 14). The patient was diagnosed of cleidocranial dysplasia evidenced by clinical and radiographic findings.

**CASE 2**

A 14 years old male, the brother of case 1 was investigated in order to detect the genetic predisposition of cleidocranial dysplasia. The patient was short statured, with normal weight...
and gait. On physical examination the patient had abnormal movement of the right shoulder and the right clavicle demonstrated hypermobility (Fig. 5). Patient showed a straight profile with prominent chin. On intraoral inspection the following teeth were present:

16, 55, 54, 53, 52, 51, 62, 63, 64, 65, 26
46, 85, 84, 83, 82, 81, 31, 72, 73, 74, 75, 36

Occlusal examination pictured angle’s class III malocclusion with bilateral posterior crossbite. High palatal vault with narrow maxillary arch were also apparent. Chest radiograph presented a bell shaped thorax with hypoplastic clavicles (Fig. 6). On A-P view of the skull wide open sutures with open fontanelles and few wormian bones were evident. Lateral cephalometric analysis revealed reduced height of the lower third of the face and a skeletal class III tendency. This could be due to under development of the maxilla and an upward and forward rotation of mandible. This was substantiated by an increased Y-axis and FMA (Fig. 12). On Panoramic radiograph both the arches had over-retained deciduous teeth with unresorbed roots (Fig. 7). The abnormal upward and forward mandibular rotation was intercepted by occipital chin cup therapy (Fig. 13). Dermatoglyphic findings for bilateral arch pattern revealed a specific LLLLL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>Finger</th>
<th>TRC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nandlal (Father)</td>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahul</td>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahendra</td>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seema</td>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 1: Dermatoglyphic findings in a family with cleidocranial dysplasia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>IV</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>TRC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nandlal</td>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahul</td>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahenda</td>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seema</td>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lt – Left; Rt – Right; L – Loop (Fig. 21 A); C – Composite (Fig. 21 B); W – Target whorl concentric circles (Fig. 21 C); TRC – Total ridge count.
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(loop) sequence type on both the hands and a total ridge count of 78 (Table 1 and Fig. 14).

CASE 3

Another sibling, 11 years old female was also investigated to assess the genetic relationship of cleidocranial dysplasia. She was of average height and weight with a normal gait.

On general physical examination it was noticed that she had abnormal approximation of shoulders. The patient had frontal bossing, hypertelorism and depressed nasal bridge (Fig. 8). Intraoral examination revealed retained primary teeth and delayed eruption of permanent successors which is one of the characteristic feature. The teeth present were: 16, 55, 54, 53, 52, 51, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 26 46, 85, 84, 83, 82, 81, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 36

Anterior and a unilateral right posterior crossbites were present. The radiographic view of the chest revealed aplasia of clavicles and low placed scapulas with a funnel shaped thorax (Fig. 9). The A-P view of skull concluded wide open

Fig. 5: Brachycephaly, frontal bossing, sloping of shoulders and approximation of shoulders towards each other

Fig. 6: Hypoplastic clavicles and bell shaped thorax

Fig. 7: Panoramic view revealing prolonged retention of primary dentition and delayed eruption of the permanent dentition

Fig. 8: Depressed nasal bridge, frontal bossing, brachycephaly and hypertelorism
sutures and fontanelles along with multiple wormian bones (Fig. 10). The hypoplastic appearance of maxilla was clearly evidenced on the lateral cephalogram. The malrelationship between maxilla and mandible could arise through discrepancies in the effective horizontal lengths of both the arches or due to an abnormal contribution by the projected length of the cranial base element. The projected lengths were measured and mandibular length which exceeded the standard indicated mandibular prognathism. Vertical parameters pointed the increased Y-axis along with an elevated FMA (Fig. 12). These findings ascertained a relative mandibular prognathism. The oral pentamogram findings were partial anodontia with the absence of 14, 15, and 25 (Fig. 11). This relative mandibular prognathism was intercepted by occipital chin cup therapy (Fig. 13). The dermatoglyphic findings were LWLLL (loop, whorl) and LLLLL (loop) sequence patterns in left and right hands respectively. The total ridge count was 65 (Table 1 and Fig. 14).

**DISCUSSION**

CCD is an autosomal dominant disorder of bone caused by a defect in CBFA 1 gene and represents a generalized
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dysplasia of skeletal structure. Being genetic in nature the
disease may pass generation to generation as any other asset.
Cleidocranial dysplasia is characterized by abnormalities
of the skull, teeth, jaws and shoulder girdle as well as by
stunting of the long bones. The defect of the shoulder girdle
from which the condition drives its name ranges from
complete absence of clavicles in about 10% to partial
absence or even simple thinning of one or both clavicles.
Patients with cleidocranial dysplasia characteristically
exhibit a high, narrow arched palate. The maxilla is usually
underdeveloped and smaller in relation to mandible. One
of the outstanding oral findings is prolonged retention of
deciduous teeth and subsequent delay in the eruption of
succedaneous teeth.11

A rare family case of CCD reported in our department
that was not previously diagnosed, though the patients had
a history of prolonged retention of primary teeth and a history
of extractions as a result of dental caries. The relatives of
the cases resided in another state due to which there was
paucity of investigations for those relatives. The mutational
analysis of RUNX2 was not carried out as proposed by
Yoshida et al.12 It is possible that the Run domain was
affected since the patients showed short stature.
Supernumerary teeth are considered to be a diagnostic
feature of CCD. However complete absence of
supernumerary teeth and hypodontia is reported in all the
three cases presented. Yoshida12 pointed out that there is a
significant correlation between the supernumerary teeth and
short stature with the gene dosage RUNX2 effect in the
RUNX2 activity. In the present clinical cases, the number
and the severity of alterations were different in each patient.
RUNX2 controls the maturation of both osteoblasts and
odontoblast. Therefore a delay in tooth maturation is
expected in RUNX2 deficient tissues. This is reflected in
the clinical situations, where the dental maturation of CCD
subjects is retarded by as much as 4 years.7,13,14

The findings of CCD, although present at birth, could
be easily missed due to its low frequency and variety of
clinical manifestations which were evident in our cases too.
The clinical and radiological studies revealed slow growth
and moderately short stature. The dysplasias may include
various combinations of absence, lack of fusion, or
incomplete modeling of any of the 3 ossification centers
in each clavicle or in the right one alone. This is evidenced by
the aplasia of clavicles in cases 1 and 3 along with hypoplasia
of the right clavicle in case 2. Other clinical findings included
low placed scapulas and deformities of the thorax. The
intraoral inspection showed unresorbed roots and prolonged
retention of primary teeth. In addition to this, there was
absence of some permanent teeth, high palatal vault and
anterior cross bite. The primary teeth erupted on time
however; the subsequent permanent teeth exhibited a
delayed eruption, presumably as a result of defective
eruption pathway. The first permanent molars erupted
spontaneously in all the patients which could be ascribed to
firstly, a very thin layer of bone to pass through for these
molars and secondly, their eruption is not dependent on root
resorption of deciduous teeth.15 In the canine and premolar
regions the persistence of primary teeth and delayed root
resorption hindered the eruption of successors. The teeth
were shaped regularly with no structural anomalies.

The radiologic features of this disorder are very
characteristic. With respect to the skull it is the membranous
portion and not the base that is affected. As such, delayed
ossification leads to delayed closure of sutures (sagittal and
coronal) and fontanelles (metopic).16 The cephalometric
features of the cases displayed increased Y axis and marked
increase in the FMA. These irregular changes resulted in
mandibular rotation (Y axis-FH), ramus inclination –FH and
the mandibular plane (FH) being forwarded with a clockwise
rotation, causing a mandibular protrusion.17,18 The large
number of unerupted teeth in the premaxilla and mandibular
symphysis regions makes identification of points A and B,
commonly used to represent the anterior limits of the dental
bases, difficult. The results by the cephalometric analysis confirmed the clinical reports of mandibular prognathism in all the cases. This could be attributed to a large antero-posterior mandibular length together with a shortened cranial base.\(^{18}\) The interventional occipital chin cup therapy was given to all the cases as they were indicative of increased Y axis and FMA. The cases are under regular follow-up. This interception would prevent the further worsening of the Class III malocclusion.

Dermatoglyphic ridge patterns have been widely studied in major malformation syndromes. In the present case report, total ridge count (TRC) and bilateral arch patterns were examined in the father and 3 siblings. The dermatoglyphic findings of these CCD patients with respect to the TRC was found to be lower than the normal study population in the region.\(^{19}\) The cases exhibited varied arch patterns and different sequence types. There was a predominance of Loop pattern in all the cases (Fig. 14A). Interestingly, the eldest son, case 1, demonstrated Loop pattern in all the fingers. The other arch patterns were Composite (Fig. 14B) and Target whorl concentric circles (Fig. 14C). Specifically no composite pattern was found in the case 3. This hints that the formation of ridges and TRC as a marker are influenced by genetic differences. It also indicates some genetic association between CCD patients and fingerprint patterns. CCD may be genetically associated with loop, whorl and composite patterns which can be further investigated by detailed molecular studies. Thus, although the present study is based on a very small number of individuals, it does indicate that the CCD patients may also be distinguished on the basis of dermatoglyphic markers.

What this paper adds?
1. Early diagnosis and intervention in CCD patients may prevent a number of problems and create good esthetic and functional results.
2. The dental problems if intervened before adulthood can prevent skeletal malocclusions like short lower facial height and mandibular prognathism.
3. Dermatoglyphic findings may be an auxiliary tool in distinguishing the CCD patients.

Why this paper is important for pediatric dentist?
1. The treatment plan is largely dependant on both the chronological and dental age of the patients.
2. The timing of diagnosis in CCD is not only important in choosing an appropriate treatment plan but also in attaining a successful result.
3. Multidisciplinary approach should be planned by dental, pediatrics, orthopedics and genetic counseling team.
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